



TITLE:

Sharp asymptotics for the generalized Burgers equations (Mathematical Analysis in Fluid and Gas Dynamics)

AUTHOR(S):

Kato, Masakazu

CITATION:

Kato, Masakazu. Sharp asymptotics for the generalized Burgers equations (Mathematical Analysis in Fluid and Gas Dynamics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2008, 1592: 130-142

ISSUE DATE:

2008-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81624>

RIGHT:

Sharp asymptotics for the generalized Burgers equations

大阪大学大学院理学研究科 加藤正和 (Masakazu Kato)
Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

1 Introduction

This note is concerned with large time behavior of the global solutions to the generalized Burgers equations:

$$(1.1) \quad u_t + (f(u))_x = u_{xx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x),$$

where $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(u) = \frac{b}{2}u^2 + \frac{c}{3}u^3$ with $b \neq 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The subscripts t and x stand for the partial derivatives with respect to t and x , respectively. In Kawashima [7] and Nishida [11], it was shown that the solution of (1.1) and (1.2) tends to a nonlinear diffusion wave defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \chi(x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}} \chi_* \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \chi_*(x) \equiv \frac{1}{b} \frac{(e^{b\delta/2} - 1)e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi} + (e^{b\delta/2} - 1) \int_{x/2}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy},$$

$$(1.5) \quad \delta \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx.$$

By the Hopf -Cole transformation in Hopf [4] and Cole [1], we see that it is a solution of the Burgers equation

$$(1.6) \quad \chi_t + \left(\frac{b}{2} \chi^2 \right)_x = \chi_{xx}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(x, 0) dx = \delta.$$

More precisely, if $u_0 \in L^1_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$ is small, then we have

$$(1.8) \quad \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-3/4+\alpha}(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^1_{\beta}}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\alpha = (1/2 - \beta)/2$. Here, $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of functions $u = u(x)$ such that $\partial_x^l u$ are L^2 -functions on \mathbb{R} for $l = 0, 1$, endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$, while $L^1_{\beta}(\mathbb{R})$ is a subset of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ whose elements satisfy $\|u\|_{L^1_{\beta}} \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|(1+|x|)^{\beta} dx < \infty$. They deal with the hyperbolic - parabolic system of conservation laws. If we consider the single equation, then we easily modify the estimate of (1.8). For $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$ is small, then we have

$$(1.9) \quad \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1+\alpha}(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_0\|_{L^1_{\beta}}), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\alpha = (1 - \beta)/2$. However, the estimate (1.9) leads to a natural question whether it is possible to take $\alpha = 0$ in (1.9) for the extreme case $\beta = 1$ or not. In [9], it was shown that we can't take $\alpha = 0$ in (1.9), unless $\delta = 0$ or $c = 0$. Indeed, the second asymptotic profile of large time behavior of the solutions is given by

$$(1.10) \quad V(x, t) \equiv -\frac{cd}{6\sqrt{\pi}} V_* \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) (1+t)^{-1} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$(1.11) \quad V_*(x) \equiv \partial_x (e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}} \eta_*)(x),$$

$$(1.12) \quad \eta_*(x) \equiv \exp \left(\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi_*(y) dy \right),$$

$$(1.13) \quad d \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_*^{-1}(y) \chi_*^2(y) dy.$$

The aim of this note is to strengthen the result of [9] in the following two points. One is to show that $V(x, t)$ is the second asymptotic profile not only in the sense of L^∞ but also in the sense of L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). The other is to show that we can take the initial data from rather wider class $L^1_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Here we denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of all bounded and uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^N with the usual supremum norm. And we set $E_\beta \equiv \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_0\|_{L^1_{\beta}}$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and E_0 is small. Then the initial value problem for (1.1) and (1.2) has a unique global solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying $u \in$*

$C^0([0, \infty); L^1) \cap C^0([0, \infty); \mathcal{B})$. Moreover, if $u_0 \in L^1_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and E_1 is small, then the solution satisfies the estimate

$$(1.14) \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Here $\chi(x, t)$ is defined by (1.3), while $V(x, t)$ is defined by (1.10).

REMARK 1.2. In Liu [8], the initial value problem for the Burgers equations (1.1) and (1.2) is studied, provided $c = 0$ implicitly at page 42. After the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, it is mentioned, without proof, that if we assume $(1 + |x|)^2 |u_0(x)| \leq \tilde{\delta}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ is small, then the estimate

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\tilde{\delta}(1+t)^{-1}, \quad t \geq 1$$

holds. However, from our result, the above estimate fails true for the case $c\tilde{\delta} \neq 0$. In Matsumura and Nishihara [10], it was shown that, for some initial data, the estimate

$$(1.15) \quad \|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 0$$

holds instead of (1.9).

We also remark that the estimate similar to (1.14) was obtained for other types of Burgers equation such as KdV-Burgers in Hayashi and Naumkin [3] and Kaikina and Ruiz-Paredes [5], and Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers in Hayashi, Kaikina and Naumkin [2].

2 Preliminaries

In order to prove the basic estimates given by Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we prepare the following two lemmas. The first one is concerned with the decay estimates for semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$ associated with the heat equation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let l be a nonnegative integer and $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose $q_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$. Then the estimate*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\partial_x^l e^{t\Delta} q_0\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-(1/q-1/p+l)/2} \|q_0\|_{L^q}, \quad t \geq 0$$

holds.

The second one is related to the diffusion wave $\chi(x, t)$ and the heat kernel $G(x, t)$. The explicit formula of $\chi(x, t)$ and $G(x, t)$ are given by (1.3) and

$$(2.2) \quad G(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

respectively. It is easy to see that

$$(2.3) \quad |\chi(x, t)| \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{4(1+t)}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, we get the following (see e.g. [10]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let α and β be positive integers. Then, for $p \in [1, \infty]$, the estimates*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^\beta \chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\beta}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^\beta G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\beta}, \quad t > 0$$

hold.

For the latter sake, we introduce η

$$(2.6) \quad \eta(x, t) \equiv \eta_* \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) = \exp\left(\frac{b}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x \chi(y, t) dy\right).$$

We easily have

$$(2.7) \quad \min\{1, e^{\frac{bx}{2}}\} \leq \eta(x, t) \leq \max\{1, e^{\frac{bx}{2}}\}.$$

Moreover, we can deduce the following. For the proof, see [9].

Corollary 2.3. *Let l be a positive integer and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $|\delta| \leq 1$, then we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial_x^l \eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|(1+t)^{-(l-1/p)/2}.$$

3 Basic estimates

We deal with the following linearized equations which corresponds to (4.4), (4.5) below:

$$(3.1) \quad z_t = z_{xx} - (b\chi z)_x, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(3.2) \quad z(x, 0) = z_0(x).$$

The explicit representation formula (3.4) below plays a crucial role in our analysis.

Lemma 3.1. *If we set*

$$(3.3) \quad U[w](x, t, \tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x(G(x-y, t-\tau)\eta(x, t))\eta^{-1}(y, \tau) \int_{-\infty}^y w(\xi) d\xi dy, \\ 0 \leq \tau < t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then the solutions for (3.1) and (3.2) is given by

$$(3.4) \quad z(x, t) = U[z_0](x, t, 0), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

PROOF. If we put

$$(3.5) \quad r(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^x z(y, t) dy,$$

then we see from (3.1), (3.2) that $r(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad r_t = r_{xx} - b\chi r_x, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(3.7) \quad r(x, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^x z_0(y) dy.$$

Then a direct computation yields

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\frac{r(x, t)}{\eta(x, t)} \right)_t = \left(\frac{r(x, t)}{\eta(x, t)} \right)_{xx},$$

where η is defined by (2.6). Therefore, we have

$$(3.9) \quad r(x, t) = \eta(x, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, t) \eta(y, 0)^{-1} r(y, 0) dy.$$

Hence (3.9), (3.5) and (3.7) yield (3.4). \square

Next we derive the decay estimates (3.10) and (3.11) below for the homogenous equation (3.1). For the proof of Lemma 3.2, see [9].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $z_0 \in L^1_{\beta}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} z_0(x) dx = 0$. Then, the estimate*

$$(3.10) \quad \|U[z_0](\cdot, t, 0)\|_{L^p} \leq C t^{-(1-\frac{1}{p}+\beta)/2} \|z_0\|_{L^1_{\beta}}, \quad t > 0$$

holds.

We modify the L^2 estimate of [9] to the following.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $z_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} z_0(x) dx = 0$. Then the estimate*

$$(3.11) \quad \|U[z_0](\cdot, t, 0)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1-1/p)/2} (\|z_0\|_{L^1} + \|z_0\|_{L^p}), \quad t > 0$$

holds.

PROOF. We have from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} U[z_0](x, t, 0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x (G(x - y, t) \eta(x, t)) \eta^{-1}(y, 0) \int_{-\infty}^y z_0(\xi) d\xi dy \\ &= \partial_x \eta(x, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, t) \eta^{-1}(y, 0) \int_{-\infty}^y z_0(\xi) d\xi dy \\ &\quad + \eta(x, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x - y, t) \partial_y J(y) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we put

$$(3.13) \quad J(y) = \eta^{-1}(y, 0) \int_{-\infty}^y z_0(\xi) d\xi.$$

Therefore we have from (2.5) and Corollary 2.3

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|U[z_0](\cdot, t, 0)\|_{L^p} &\leq C \|\partial_x \eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \|G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} \|z_0\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + C \|\eta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|e^{t\Delta} [\partial_x J]\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(1-1/p)/2} \|z_0\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + C \|e^{t\Delta} [\partial_x J]\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.13), (2.4) and Corollary 2.3, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_x J\|_{L^1} &\leq C \left\| \chi(x, 0) \int_{-\infty}^x z_0(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + C \|z_0\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \|z_0\|_{L^1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_x J\|_{L^p} &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^1 \left\| \partial_x^{1-n} \eta^{-1}(x, 0) \partial_x^n \int_{-\infty}^x z_0(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_x \eta^{-1}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^p} \|z_0\|_{L^1} + C \|\eta^{-1}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty} \|z_0\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C(\|z_0\|_{L^1} + \|z_0\|_{L^p}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.15), (3.16) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta} [\partial_x J]\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-(1-1/p)/2} (\|\partial_x J\|_{L^1} + \|\partial_x J\|_{L^p}) \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(1-1/p)/2} (\|z_0\|_{L^1} + \|z_0\|_{L^p}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (3.14) and (3.17), we obtain (3.11). This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we get the following uniform estimate.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $z_0 \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} z_0(x) dx = 0$. Then the estimate*

$$\|U[z_0](\cdot, t, 0)\|_{L^p} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-(1-1/p)/2-1/2}, \quad t > 0$$

holds.

By using Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, we derive the decay estimate (3.18) below for the inhomogenous equation (4.4) below. The estimate will be used to get the decay rate of the solution $w(x, t)$ for the problem (4.4) and (4.5).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose $w, \partial_x w \in C^0(0, \infty; L^1) \cap C^0(0, \infty; \mathcal{B})$. Then the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_0^t U[\partial_x w(\tau)](\cdot, t, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^p} \\
 & \leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t - \tau)^{-(1-1/(2p))} \|w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\
 & \quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (t - \tau)^{-1/2} \|w(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

holds.

4 Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove our result, we introduce the following auxiliary problem:

$$(4.1) \quad v_t = v_{xx} - (b\chi v)_x - \left(\frac{c}{3}\chi^3\right)_x, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.2) \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

By using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 2.2, we derive the decay estimate for the solution $v(x, t)$ to the above problem.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|^3(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)} \log(2+t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Our first step to prove Theorem 1.1 is the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and E_0 is small. Then the initial value problem for (1.1) and (1.2) has a unique global solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying $u \in C^0([0, \infty); L^1) \cap C^0([0, \infty); L^p)$. Moreover, if $u_0 \in L^1_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and E_1 is small, then the estimate*

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \chi(\cdot, t) - v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

holds. Here $\chi(x, t)$ is defined by (1.3), while $v(x, t)$ is the solution for the problem (4.1) and (4.2).

PROOF. We shall prove only the decay estimate. We put

$$w(x, t) = u(x, t) - \chi(x, t) - v(x, t).$$

Then $w(x, t)$ satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad w_t = w_{xx} - (b\chi w)_x + (g(w, \chi, v))_x, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.5) \quad w(x, 0) = w_0(x),$$

where we have set $w_0(x) = u_0(x) - \chi(x, 0)$ and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g(w, \chi, v) &= -\frac{b}{2}(w+v)^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{c}{3}[w^3 + v^3 + 3(w+v)(w+\chi)(\chi+v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_0(x), \chi(x, 0) \in L_1^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $w_0(x) \in L_1^1 \cap \mathcal{B}$. Besides by (1.5) and (1.7),

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_0(x) dx = 0.$$

Now, we define $N(T)$ by

$$(4.8) \quad N(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ (1+t)^{1/2} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1} + (1+t)^1 \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \}.$$

First of all, we shall show that

$$(4.9) \quad \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-2+1/(2p)} ((|\delta| \log(2+t))^2 + N(T)^2).$$

Here and below, $|\delta|$ and $N(T)$ is assumed to be small. We put $h_1(x, t) = w(x, t) + v(x, t)$, $h_2(x, t) = w(x, t) + \chi(x, t)$ and $h_3(x, t) = \chi(x, t) + v(x, t)$. Then, we have from (4.8), (4.3) and (2.4)

$$(4.10) \quad \|(w+v)^2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-2+1/(2p)} ((|\delta| \log(2+t))^2 + N(T)^2),$$

$$(4.11) \quad \|w^3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-3+1/(2p)} N(T)^3,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \|v^3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-2+1/(2p)} (|\delta| \log(2+t))^2,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \|(h_1 h_2 h_3)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(1+t)^{-2+1/(2p)} ((|\delta| \log(2+t))^2 + N(T)^2).$$

Suming up these estimates, we obtain (4.9) from (4.6).

Applying the Duhamel principle for the problem (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$(4.14) \quad w(x, t) = U[w_0](x, t, 0) + \int_0^t U[\partial_x g(w, \chi, v)(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have from (4.14), Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.5

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)} E_1 + C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1+1/(2p)} \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

First we evaluate I_2 . From (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-1+1/(2p)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (|\delta| \log(2+\tau))^2 + N(T)^2 d\tau \\ (4.16) \quad &\leq C(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)} (|\delta|^2 + N(T)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next we evaluate I_3 . From (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C \int_{t/2}^t (t-\tau)^{-1/2} (1+\tau)^{-2+1/(2p)} (|\delta| \log(2+\tau))^2 + N(T)^2 d\tau \\ (4.17) \quad &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3-1/p)/2} (|\delta| \log(2+t))^2 + N(T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\delta| \leq E_1$, if E_1 is small, then we obtain the inequality

$$(4.18) \quad (1+t)^{1-1/(2p)} \|\partial_x^l w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(E_1 + N(T)^2).$$

Therefore, (4.18) gives the desired estimate $N(T) \leq CE_1$. This completes the proof. \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to show Proposition 4.3 below by virtue of Proposition 4.2. Although the similar estimate was shown by Lemma 3 in [5], but we need to modify the proof of it, in order to avoid the logarithmic term in the right-hand side.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $|\delta| \leq 1$. Then the estimate*

$$(4.19) \quad \|v(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|^3(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}, \quad t \geq 1$$

holds. Here, $v(x, t)$ is the solution for the problem (4.1) and (4.2), while $V(x, t)$ is defined by (1.10).

PROOF. By the Duhamel principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &= -\frac{c}{3} \int_0^t U[\partial_x \chi^3(\tau)](x, t, \tau) d\tau \\ &= -\frac{c}{3} \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbf{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta_1(x, t)) \eta_2(y, \tau) \chi^3(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{c}{3} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta_1(x, t)) \eta_2(y, \tau) \chi^3(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ (4.20) \quad &\equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

First we evaluate I_1 . By the integration by parts with respects to y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{c}{3} \eta_1(x, t) \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\partial_x G(x-y, t-\tau) + \frac{b}{2} \chi(x, t) G(x-y, t-\tau) \right) \\ &\quad \times \eta_2(y, \tau) \chi^3(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &= -\frac{c}{3} \eta_1(x, t) \int_{t/2}^t \int_{\mathbf{R}} G(x-y, t-\tau) \left(\partial_y (\eta_2(y, \tau) \chi^3(y, \tau)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b}{2} \eta_2(y, \tau) \chi(x, t) \chi^3(y, \tau) \right) dy d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get from Lemma 2.2 and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C \int_{t/2}^t \|G(\cdot, t-\tau)\|_{L^1} \left\{ \|\chi^4(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p} + \|\chi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial_x \chi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \|\chi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\chi^3(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^p} \right\} d\tau \\
 &\leq C|\delta|^3 \int_{t/2}^t (1+\tau)^{-2+1/(2p)} d\tau \\
 (4.21) \quad &\leq C|\delta|^3 (1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we evaluate I_2 . If we put

$$(4.22) \quad \Lambda(x, t, y, \tau) \equiv -\frac{c}{3} \partial_x (G(x-y, t-\tau) \eta_1(x, t)),$$

then we have

$$(4.23) \quad I_2 = \int_0^{t/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \Lambda(x, t, y, \tau) \eta_2(y, \tau) \chi^3(y, \tau) dy d\tau.$$

Splitting the y -integral at $y = 0$ and making the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^\infty \partial_y \Lambda(x, t, y, \tau) \int_y^\infty \eta_2(\xi, \tau) \chi^3(\xi, \tau) d\xi dy d\tau \\
 &\quad - \int_0^{t/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_y \Lambda(x, t, y, \tau) \int_{-\infty}^y \eta_2(\xi, \tau) \chi^3(\xi, \tau) d\xi dy d\tau \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t/2} \Lambda(x, t, 0, \tau) \int_{\mathbf{R}} \eta_2(\xi, \tau) \chi^3(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \\
 (4.24) \quad &\equiv I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

First we consider I_3 . By using the Young inequality, (2.5) and (2.8), from (4.24), we have

$$\|I_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C t^{-(3-1/p)/2} \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^\infty \int_y^\infty |\chi(\xi, \tau)|^3 d\xi dy d\tau.$$

Then, by the integration by parts with respect to y , it follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C t^{-(3-1/p)/2} \int_0^{t/2} \int_0^\infty y |\chi(y, \tau)|^3 dy d\tau \\
 &\leq C |\delta|^3 t^{-(3-1/p)/2} \int_0^{t/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{1+\tau}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4(1+\tau)}} dy d\tau \\
 (4.25) \quad &\leq C |\delta|^3 (1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.26) \quad \|I_4(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C|\delta|^3(1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}.$$

Next we consider I_5 . From (2.6), (1.3) and (1.13), we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \eta_2(\xi, \tau) \chi^3(\xi, \tau) d\xi = d(1+\tau)^{-1},$$

it follows from (4.22) and (4.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= d \int_0^{t/2} \Lambda(x, t, 0, \tau) (1+\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\ &= -\frac{cd}{3} \eta_1(x, t) \int_0^{t/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} \left((\partial_x G(x, t-\tau) - \partial_x G(x, t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b}{2} \chi(x, t) (G(x, t-\tau) - G(x, t)) \right) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{cd}{3} \eta_1(x, t) \left(\partial_x G(x, t) + \frac{b}{2} \chi(x, t) G(x, t) \right) \log \left(\frac{2+t}{2} \right) \\ (4.27) \quad &\equiv I_{5,1} + I_{5,2}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to evaluate $I_{5,1}$, we shall use

$$(4.28) \quad \|\partial_x^l G(\cdot, t-\tau) - \partial_x^l G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(t-\tau)^{-(3-1/(2p)+l)/2} \tau$$

for $l = 0, 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq t/2$. This estimate can be shown by observing that

$$\partial_x^l G(x, t-\tau) - \partial_x^l G(x, t) = -\tau \int_0^1 (\partial_t \partial_x^l G)(x, t-\theta\tau) d\theta$$

and by recalling (2.5). Since $|d| \leq C|\delta|^3$ by (1.13), we have from (4.28)

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{5,1}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C|\delta|^3 \int_0^{t/2} (1+\tau)^{-1} \{ (t-\tau)^{-2+1/(2p)} \tau + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-(3-1/p)/2} \tau \} d\tau \\ (4.29) \quad &\leq C|\delta|^3 \int_0^{t/2} (t-\tau)^{-2+1/(2p)} d\tau \leq C|\delta|^3 (1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we evaluate $I_{5,2}$. From (4.27), (2.2), (1.3) and (2.6), it follows that

$$I_{5,2} = -\frac{cd}{12\sqrt{\pi}} \eta_* \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) \left(b \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1+t}} \chi_* \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) - \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} t^{-1} (\log(t+2) - \log 2).$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t+1}} - 1 \right| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad \left\| \eta_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) - \eta_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}$$

and

$$\left\| \chi_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) - \chi_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1},$$

we have from (1.10), (1.11), (1.4) and (1.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{5,2}(\cdot, t) - V(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C|\delta|^3 t^{-1+1/(2p)} + C|\delta|^3 \left| \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t+1}} - 1 \right| t^{-1+1/(2p)} \log(t+2) \\ &\quad + C|\delta|^3 \left\| \eta_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) - \eta_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} t^{-1+1/(2p)} \log(2+t) \\ &\quad + C|\delta|^3 \left\| \chi_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{1+t}} \right) - \chi_* \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty} t^{-1+1/(2p)} \log(2+t) \\ (4.30) \quad &\leq C|\delta|^3 (1+t)^{-1+1/(2p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing (4.20), (4.21), (4.25), (4.26), (4.29) and (4.30), we obtain (4.19). This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] J.D. Cole: *On a quasi-linear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynamics*, Quart. Appl. Math. IX (1951), 225–236.
- [2] N. Hayashi, E.I. Kaikina and P.I. Naumkin: *Large time asymptotics for the BBM-Burgers equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 8 (2007), no. 3, 485–511.
- [3] N. Hayashi and P.I. Naumkin: *Asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers Equation*, Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 22 (2006), no. 5, 1441–1456.
- [4] E. Hopf: *The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = \mu u_{xx}$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (1950), 201–230.
- [5] E.I. Kaikina and H.F. Ruiz-Paredes: *Second term of asymptotics for KdVB equation with large initial data*, Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005), 407–420.
- [6] S. Kawashima: *The asymptotic equivalence of the Broadwell model equation and its Navier-Stokes model equations*, Japan J. Math. (N.S.) 7 (1981), 1–43.
- [7] S. Kawashima: *Large-time behaviour of solutions to hyperbolic-parabolic systems of conservation laws and applications*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 106 (1987), 164–194.
- [8] T.-P. Liu: *Hyperbolic and Viscous Conservation Laws*, in: CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 72, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2000.

- [9] M. Kato: *Large time behavior of solutions to the generalized Burgers equations*, Osaka J. Math. Vol. 44 (2007), no. 4.
- [10] A. Matsumura and K. Nishihara: *Global Solutions of Nonlinear Differential Equations –Mathematical Analysis for compressible viscous fluids–*, (in Japanese) Nippon-Hyoron-sha, Tokyo, 2004.
- [11] T. Nishida: *Equations of motion of compressible viscous fluids*, in: Pattern and Waves (Ed. T. Nishida, M. Mimura, H. Fujii), Amsterdam, Tokyo: Kinokuniya/North-Holland, 1986, 97–128.